

BOLDSCENCE®

PRACTICAL GUIDANCE FOR SOCIAL MEDIA POSTS ON RANDOMISED CLINICAL TRIAL PUBLICATIONS: A DELPHI SURVEY

Alison Lovibond,^a Sara Shaw,^a Susannah Thornhill,^a Suzanne Patel,^a Allison Solomon,^b Mark Lydiatt^c and members of the BOLDSCIENCE Publications Working Group

BOLDSCIENCE Ltd., London, UK
 BOLDSCIENCE Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA
 BOLDSCIENCE Inc., Atlanta, GA, USA

did we do this research? Social media is an increasingly popular way to stay up-to-date with recent publications on clinical trial data

Poster

number:

24

Good Publications Practice (GPP) 2022 guidelines encourage researchers to follow employer guidance, and for trial sponsors to follow internal procedures, when posting on social media about a publication.¹ However, no practical guidance is available for what should be included in a social media post about a clinical trial publication

We sought to generate guidance for what to include in a social media post on a clinical trial publication, with the goal of maintaining the integrity and rigour of the original article in an abbreviated format

Twenty-two members of an internal agency publications working group were

ABOUT A CLINICAL TRIAL PUBLICATION BASED ON A DELPHI SURVEY

FIGURE 1: DELPHI STUDY DESIGN



*Likert scale: 1 (not important)–6 (very important).

⁺Additional checklist items for assessment in round 2 could be nominated in round 1.

[‡]Checklist items were classified after rounds 1 and 2 based on mean score (≥5, included; 4, possibly included; or ≤3, rejected) to indicate which items should be included in a social media post on a clinical trial publication.

[§]At round 3, three possible items were re-scored with "yes" for inclusion and "no" for rejection; consensus was defined as \geq 75% agreement.

FIGURE 2: CONSENSUS RESULTS

Link to full publication		6.0
Primary outcome		6.0
Disease state and setting of participants		6.0
Treatment arms/interventions		6.0
Conclusions aligned with the original publication		6.0
Trial design (e.g., randomised)		6.0
Safety summary		6.0
Number of participants randomised		6.0
Visual summary of the publication		6.0
Primary objective		5.3
Trial registration number (e.g., NCT)		4.9
Number of participants in each treatment arm		4.3 \rightarrow 67% "yes" \rightarrow rejected at round 3
Trial status (e.g., ongoing)		4.0 \rightarrow 33% "yes" \rightarrow rejected at round 3
Source of funding	3.5	ightarrow 100% "yes" $ ightarrow$ included at round 3
Abbreviated title of work (vs full title)	2.4	
Abbreviated author list	2.0	

invited to participate in a three-round, online, modified Delphi survey **(Figure 1)**²

Checklist items were generated for round 1 based on the CONSORT for abstracts checklist³

Participants rated the importance of items for inclusion in the guidance from 1 (not important) to 6 (very important) and provided qualitative comments

> Consensus was predefined as a mean score of ≥5 for including an item in the guidance, ≤3 for rejecting the item; or ≥75% agreement

> > Full methods are available in the Supplementary Information

did we find?

did we do this research?

After round 2, a consensus was reached on 24/27 (89%) items (11 included; 13 rejected; **Figure 2**)

> After round 3, a consensus was agreed on all items (12 included; 15 rejected) that should, where possible, be included in a social media post about a publication reporting clinical trial data

A visual summary was considered desirable to include in the post to help communicate the information effectively, either as a static "visual abstract" or as a GIF/video, which allows for more content to be shared (Figure 3)



Checklist items were classified after rounds 1 and 2 based on mean score (≥5, included; 4, possibly included; or ≤3, rejected) to indicate which items should be included in a social media post on a clinical trial publication. Possible items were addressed in Delphi round 3 (consensus was ≥75% agreement).

Take-home messages

Using Delphi methodology, a panel of agency publications professionals has generated preliminary guidance for what to include in a social media post on a clinical trial publication to maintain the integrity and rigour of the original article in an abbreviated format

We encourage guideline groups to validate our consensus and hope that practical guidance such as this could enhance comfort with using social media as a platform to raise awareness about publications

Supplementary information accessible by QR code: PDF poster Full methods and results Shareable social media GIF



References

DeTora LM, et al. Ann Intern Med 2022;175:1298–1304.
 Hasson F, et al. J Adv Nurs 2000;32:1008–1015.
 Hopewell S, et al. Lancet 2008;371:281–283.

Acknowledgements

We thank the BOLDSCIENCE team for editing and graphical support with the poster

Disclosures

All authors are employees of BOLDSCIENCE, a Co-Lab Global Inc. company